EAPRIL Best Research & Practice Project Award

PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA Phase 1 & 2

Phase 1: Submission + Shortlisting nominated projects (before the conference)

All submissions are evaluated based on the following criteria:

1) How is the project responding to a real need in the field?
2) How have researchers and practitioners, as a team, contributed to the project? What type of collaboration or network have you already established? How well has it been working?
3) Describe the main focus of the research part and specify related research questions?
4) What is the expected impact of the project in the field? What are the related measures?
5) What is the collective professional development of researchers and practitioners involved in this project?
6) What kind of products has the project produced as intellectual outcomes, e.g. publications, tools, websites, presentations, ... (i.e. degree of dissemination)
7) What is the sustainability of the project, or these products?
8) What are the three most important lessons learned/conclusions during the course of the project?

Phase 2: Evaluation of the nominated projects (during the conference)

The nominated projects are evaluated based on the following criteria:

1) The flyer (clear, concise, introductory value, innovative, multilingual)
2) The elevator pitch (clear, dynamic, inspiring, informative)
3) The oral presentation (clear, interactive, response to audience)
4) The exemplary value for Practice-based Research (research design, cooperation research-practice, impact on practice, Innovative approach, promoting out-of-the-box thinking in practice-based research projects)

The winner is announced during the Awarding Ceremony at the EAPRIL2020 conference.