



EAPRIL Best Research & Practice Project Award

PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA Phase 1 & 2

Phase 1: Submission + Shortlisting nominated projects (before the conference)

All submissions are evaluated based on the following criteria:

- 1) How is the project responding to a real need in the field?
- 2) How have researchers and practitioners, as a team, contributed to the project? What type of collaboration or network have you already established? How well has it been working?
- 3) Describe the main focus of the research part and specify related research questions?
- 4) What is the expected impact of the project in the field? What are the related measures?
- 5) What is the collective professional development of researchers and practitioners involved in this project?
- 6) What kind of products has the project produced as intellectual outcomes, e.g. publications, tools, websites, presentations, ... (i.e. degree of dissemination)
- 7) What is the sustainability of the project, or these products?
- 8) What are the three most important lessons learned/conclusions during the course of the project?

Phase 2: Evaluation of the nominated projects (during the conference)

The nominated projects are evaluated based on the following criteria:

- 1) The flyer (clear, concise, introductory value, innovative, multilingual)
- 2) The elevator pitch (clear, dynamic, inspiring, informative)
- 3) The oral presentation (clear, interactive, response to audience)
- 4) The exemplary value for Practice-based Research (research design, cooperation research-practice, impact on practice, Innovative approach, promoting out-of-the-box thinking in practice-based research projects)

The winner is announced during the Awarding Ceremony at the EAPRIL2020 conference.

