



**EAPRIL 2025**  
**VALLETTA, MALTA**  
**25 - 27 NOV. 2025**



## **NEW! PRESENT & DISCUSS (FULL PAPER PEER-REVIEWED)**

For the first time EAPRIL is inviting the submission of full papers. This is an exciting opportunity for everyone of our community, both practitioners and researchers, to have their papers published in the EAPRIL Full paper peer reviewed Conference Proceedings and for some, as a first step towards future journal publication.

**Title of the submission** (max. 100 characters including spaces).

**General abstract** (100 - 200 words) which should contain the following: what are the central research questions, the methodology used, the research results and main conclusions for educational practice?

**Extended summary** (250 – 1000 words) which should give an answer to the following questions:

1. Which theoretical background and/or earlier research results is the practice-based research based on?
2. What are the research questions in this practice-based research?
3. Which research design, instruments and methods for data analysis are used in the research as presented?
4. What are the research results?
5. What are the main conclusions and/or interpretations drawn in this practice-based research?
6. How does the research support the current field of practice-based educational research and/or how does it improve (future) educational practice?
7. How will you ensure interactivity in your presentation? Please consider any type of media and specify the method, tool, format... chosen.

**Review questions for abstract and extended summary (1-10 rating scale)**

1. Is the theoretical or practical background of this practice-based research clear and justified?
2. Are the research questions clear?
3. Are the research design, instruments, and methods of analysis appropriately chosen and rigorously implemented?



4. Are the research results clearly described?
5. Are the conclusions and interpretations drawn in this study presented clearly and justified (i.e. by the data, results or examples)?
6. Does the research address important, and/or new and emerging issues within the field of practice-based educational research or offer ideas for practice improvement?
7. Does the proposal offer good ideas that will help the presentation to succeed in being interactive?

### **NEW! Full paper attachment**

To be eligible for acceptance as a 'Present & Discuss' (full paper peer – reviewed) submission, it is mandatory to add your full paper to your submission as an attachment. You can find more detailed criteria below.

### **Papers are judged on the following criteria:**

- Overall quality (conceptual framework, research design & methodology, findings, discussion and conclusion)
- Significance of the contribution to the improvement of learning in practice
- Interest to the EAPRIL audience
- Relevance to the conference theme

### **Formatting Guidelines for Submissions**

Please be sure to follow all submission guidelines to ensure that your paper is reviewed. There will be no exceptions and papers not prepared according to these guidelines will **NOT** be reviewed.

*AI tools may be used for language editing or analysis purposes, but authors bear full responsibility for content integrity and must document any AI involvement.*

- Word count: 4'000 – 6'000 words (excluding general abstract and references)
- Font : Arial 12-point
- Margins: 1inch (2.54cm) all around and A4 page-setting
- Line-spacing 1.5 (References may be single-spaced)
- Style guide: the paper should conform to the [EAPRIL style guide](#)
- In line with the blind review process **ALL** author-identifying information must be removed from the attached document before submission. NB. Author information is to be given separately during the submission process.
- The full paper attachment must be in **ONE** document using one of the following formats:
  - Portable Document Format (.pdf)
  - Microsoft Word (.docx)
- Figures are to be inserted in the document
- All pages are page-numbered

### **Review Process**

Submissions should be original and not under review for publication elsewhere. Each paper will be reviewed using a double-blind review process, the author(s) identity is not revealed to the reviewers. Each submission will be evaluated by at least two independent reviewers.

